

UNITED STATES [ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trader Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

OQ/164568

09/164,568

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO. 012712-572 R EXAMINER

021839

10/01/98

HM12/0608

NOELLE

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS P 0 BOX 1404

ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

1644 1644

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

06/08/00

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is FINAL.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
wh the	shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 36(a).	month(s), or thirty days,	
. Di	sposition of Claims		
·	Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.	
	Claim(s) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
_	Claim(s)	in/are rejected	
		,	
F	Claim(s)	syste objected to:	
Ī.	Claim(s)is/are objected to. Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on			
	*Certified copies not received:		
	Attachment(s)		
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Interview Summary, PTO-413		
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 -SEE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-		
	SEBACO	★ U.S. GPO: 1996-404-496/4	
	PTOL-326 (Rev. 9/96)		

Serial No. 09/164568 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 4.300 (Paper No. 6), is acknowledged.

Claim 54 has been amended.

Claims 1-53 have been canceled previously.

Claims 54-63 are pending.

Applicant's election of the gp39 antagonist anti-gp39 antibodies for the purposes of examination in Paper No. 6, filed 4/3/00, is acknowledged.

Given applicant's amended claim, the following Restriction Requirement is set forth.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 54-63, drawn to methods of reducing T cells response to an allergen expressing cell with a gp39 antagonist, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1 and Class 514, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 54-63, drawn to methods of reducing T cells response to an autoantigen expressing cell with a gp39 antagonist, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1 and Class 514, subclass 8.
- 3. Inventions I and II are different methods; which require different ingredients, process steps and endpoints to accomplish the use of gp39 antagonists.

Further, it is noted that the endpoints of allergies and autoimmunity are distinct because the

pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints.

Also, the structures of allergens and autoantigens differ with respect to their structure and sources to the extent that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not envision one in view of the other. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Invention: wherein the gp39 (CD40 ligand) antagonist is:
 - A) anti-gp39 antibodies or fragments thereof or
 - B) soluble CD40.

These species are distinct because their structures and modes of action are different, which required non-coextensive searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 54 is generic.

As pointed out above, applicant's election of the gp39 antagonist anti-gp39 antibodies for the purposes of examination in Paper No. 6, filed 4/3/00, is acknowledged.

Serial No. 09/164568 Art Unit 1644

5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phillip Gambel, PhD.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

PHILLESIMBER

June 7, 2000